



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/941,043	08/28/2001	Gordon Taylor Davis	RAL920010013US1 5730	
47052	7590 09/11/2006		EXAMINER	
SAWYER LAW GROUP LLP			TRAN, NGHI V	
PO BOX 5141 PALO ALTO,	=		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2151 DATE MAILED: 09/11/2006	
THEO HETO,				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u>· a</u>						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/941,043	DAVIS ET AL.				
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nghi V. Tran	2151				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 17 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv	-	e final rejection, whicheve	eric later In no			
event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS  3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for						
appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.						
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	i (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling						
the non-allowable claim(s).						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>8,17 and 20</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>5 and 14</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4,9-13,18,19,21 and 22</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	d sufficient reasons why the affida	vit or other evidence	is necessary			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ails to provide a (1).			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12.  Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).					

13. Other: \_\_\_\_\_.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

The Applicant's argument is not persuasive. In response to applicant's argument that Petersen in view of Franaszek fail to teach or suggest "representing a first end, or boundary, of a segment with a partition compression code word or a transparent mode command." Examiner respectfully disagrees because Petersen teaches representing a first end, or boundary, of a segment [i.e. first segnment, 505] without a partition compression code word or a transparent mode command [fig.5 and col.3, ln.33 through col.4, ln.41]. However, Franaszek suggests or discloses a partition compression code [fig.2 and fig.4B]. Therefore, Petersen in view of Franaszek teach or suggest representing a first end, or boundary, of a segment with a partition compression code word or a transparent mode command.

RUPAL DHARIA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAM.